

CMP302: Algorithms



Lecture 09: Binary Search Trees

Mohamed Alaa El-Dien Aly
Computer Engineering Department
Cairo University
Fall 2013

Agenda


- Binary Search Trees
 - Insert, Search, Delete
 - Expected Height
- Red Black Trees

Acknowledgment

A lot of slides adapted from the slides of Erik Demaine, Piotr Indyk, and Charles Leiserson


Dictionary Data Structure


- Data structures that support
 - Insert(data, key)
 - Delete(data, key)
 - Search(data, key)
- Last lecture
 - Hash tables
 - Operations in expected constant time
- This lecture
 - Binary Trees
 - Operations in expected log time



Binary Search Tree

- Each node x has:
 - $\text{key}[x]$
 - Pointers:
 - $\text{left}[x]$
 - $\text{right}[x]$
 - $\text{parent}[x]$







Binary Search Tree (BST)

BST Property

- Property: for any node x :
 - For all nodes y in the **left** subtree of x :
$$\text{key}[y] \leq \text{key}[x]$$
 - For all nodes y in the **right** subtree of x :
$$\text{key}[y] \geq \text{key}[x]$$







Binary Search Tree (BST)



BST Property


- Property: for any node x :
 - For all nodes y in the **left** subtree of x :
$$\text{key}[y] \leq \text{key}[x]$$
 - For all nodes y in the **right** subtree of x :
$$\text{key}[y] \geq \text{key}[x]$$
- Given a set of keys, is BST for those keys **unique**?






No uniqueness







What can we do given BST ?


- Sort !
- Inorder-Walk(x):






What can we do given BST ?


- Sort !
- Inorder-Walk(x):
If $x \neq \text{NIL}$ then
 - Inorder-Walk($\text{left}[x]$)
 - print $\text{key}[x]$
 - Inorder-Walk($\text{right}[x]$)
- Output: 






Sorting, ctd.


- What is the running time of Inorder-Walk?






Sorting, ctd.


- What is the running time of Inorder-Walk?
- It is $O(n)$
- Because:
 - Each link is traversed twice
 - There are $O(n)$ links






Sorting, ctd.


- Does it mean that we can sort n keys in $O(n)$ time ?






Sorting, ctd.


- Does it mean that we can sort n keys in $O(n)$ time ?
- No
- It just means that building a BST takes $\Omega(n \log n)$ time
(in the comparison model)





BST as a data structure


- Operations:
 - Insert(**x**)
 - Delete(**x**)
 - – Search(**k**)




Search

Search(x):

- If $x \neq \text{NIL}$ then
 - If $\text{key}[x] = k$ then return x
 - If $k < \text{key}[x]$ then return $\text{Search}(\text{left}[x])$
 - If $k > \text{key}[x]$ then return $\text{Search}(\text{right}[x])$
- Else return NIL







Search

Search(x):

- If $x \neq \text{NIL}$ then
 - If $\text{key}[x] = k$ then return x
 - If $k < \text{key}[x]$ then return $\text{Search}(\text{left}[x])$
 - If $k > \text{key}[x]$ then return $\text{Search}(\text{right}[x])$
- Else return NIL






Search


Search(x):

- If $x \neq \text{NIL}$ then
 - If $\text{key}[x] = k$ then return x
 - If $k < \text{key}[x]$ then return $\text{Search}(\text{left}[x])$
 - If $k > \text{key}[x]$ then return $\text{Search}(\text{right}[x])$
- Else return NIL




Search(8):

Search(8.5):



Predecessor/Successor


- Can modify Search (into Search') such that, if k is not stored in BST, we get x such that:
 - Either it has the largest $\text{key}[x] < k$, or
 - It has the smallest $\text{key}[x] > k$
- Useful when k prone to errors
- What if we always want a successor of k ?
 - $x = \text{Search}'(k)$
 - If $\text{key}[x] < k$, then return $\text{Successor}(x)$
 - Else return x




Successor

Successor(x):

- If $\text{right}[x] \neq \text{NIL}$ then return Minimum($\text{right}[x]$)
- Otherwise
 - $y \leftarrow p[x]$
 - While $y \neq \text{NIL}$ and $x = \text{right}[y]$ do
 - $x \leftarrow y$
 - $y \leftarrow p[y]$
 - Return y




The lowest **ancestor** that has x in the **left** subtree




Successor

Successor(x):

- If $\text{right}[x] \neq \text{NIL}$ then return Minimum($\text{right}[x]$)
- Otherwise
 - $y \leftarrow p[x]$
 - While $y \neq \text{NIL}$ and $x = \text{right}[y]$ do
 - $x \leftarrow y$
 - $y \leftarrow p[y]$
 - Return y







Successor

Successor(x):

- If $\text{right}[x] \neq \text{NIL}$ then return Minimum($\text{right}[x]$)
- Otherwise
 - $y \leftarrow p[x]$
 - While $y \neq \text{NIL}$ and $x = \text{right}[y]$ do
 - $x \leftarrow y$
 - $y \leftarrow p[y]$
 - Return y







Successor

Successor(x):

- If $\text{right}[x] \neq \text{NIL}$ then return Minimum($\text{right}[x]$)
- Otherwise
 - $y \leftarrow p[x]$
 - While $y \neq \text{NIL}$ and $x = \text{right}[y]$ do
 - $x \leftarrow y$
 - $y \leftarrow p[y]$
 - Return y







Minimum

Minimum(x)


- While $\text{left}[x] \neq \text{NIL}$ do
 - $x \leftarrow \text{left}[x]$
- Return x





Nearest Neighbor


- Assuming keys are numbers
- For a key k , can we find x such that $|k - \text{key}[x]|$ is minimal?
- Yes:
 - $\text{key}[x]$ must be either a predecessor or successor of k
 - $y = \text{Search}'(k)$ // y is either succ or pred of k
 - $y' = \text{Successor}(y)$
 - $y'' = \text{Predecessor}(y)$
 - Report the closest of $\text{key}[y]$, $\text{key}[y']$, $\text{key}[y'']$




Analysis

- How much time does all of this take ?
- Worst case: $O(\text{height})$
- Height really important
- Tree better be balanced

Why? Height is $\lg n$ if balanced tree and n if unbalanced.







Constructing BST

Insert(z):


- $y \leftarrow \text{NIL}$
- $x \leftarrow \text{root}$
- While $x \neq \text{NIL}$ do
 - $y \leftarrow x$
 - If $\text{key}[z] < \text{key}[x]$ then $x \leftarrow \text{left}[x]$ else $x \leftarrow \text{right}[x]$
- $p[z] \leftarrow y$
- If $\text{key}[z] < \text{key}[y]$ then $\text{left}[y] \leftarrow z$ else $\text{right}[y] \leftarrow z$






Analysis


- After we insert n elements, what is the worst possible BST height ?



Analysis


- After we insert n elements, what is the worst possible BST height ?
- Pretty bad: $n-1$






Average case analysis

- Consider keys $1, 2, \dots, n$, in a random order
- Each permutation equally likely
- For each key perform Insert
- What is the likely height of the tree ?




Average case analysis


- Consider keys $1, 2, \dots, n$, in a random order
- Each permutation equally likely
- For each key perform Insert
- What is the likely height of the tree ?
- It is $O(\log n)$



Creating a random BST



• $n=9$





Creating a random BST


• $n=9$



© Piotr Indyk


Introduction to Algorithms


October 6, 2004 L7.19



Creating a random BST



• $n=9$






Creating a random BST



• $n=9$






Creating a random BST


• $n=9$






Creating a random BST

• $n=9$



Random BST

- Expected height?
 - $O(\lg n)$
- Proof in the book!
- What if we want height to be $O(\lg n)$ in the worst case?
 - Red-Black Trees




Balanced search trees

Balanced search tree: A search-tree data structure for which a height of $O(\lg n)$ is guaranteed when implementing a dynamic set of n items.

Examples:

- AVL trees
- 2-3 trees
- 2-3-4 trees
- B-trees
- Red-black trees




Red-black trees


This data structure requires an extra one-bit **color** field in each node.


Red-black properties:

1. Every node is either red or black.
2. The root and leaves (**NIL**'s) are black.
3. If a node is red, then its parent is black.
4. All simple paths from any node x to a descendant leaf have the same number of black nodes = **black-height**(x).




Example of a red-black tree







Example of a red-black tree




1. Every node is either red or black.




Example of a red-black tree




2. The root and leaves (NIL's) are black.




Example of a red-black tree




3. If a node is red, then its parent is black.



Example of a red-black tree



4. All simple paths from any node x to a descendant leaf have the same number of black nodes = *black-height*(x).




Height of a red-black tree


Theorem. A red-black tree with n keys has height
 $h \leq 2 \lg(n + 1)$.

Proof. (The book uses induction. Read carefully.)

INTUITION:

- Merge red nodes into their black parents.






Height of a red-black tree


Theorem. A red-black tree with n keys has height
$$h \leq 2 \lg(n + 1).$$

Proof. (The book uses induction. Read carefully.)

INTUITION:

- Merge red nodes into their black parents.






Height of a red-black tree


Theorem. A red-black tree with n keys has height
 $h \leq 2 \lg(n + 1)$.

Proof. (The book uses induction. Read carefully.)

INTUITION:

- Merge red nodes into their black parents.






Height of a red-black tree


Theorem. A red-black tree with n keys has height
$$h \leq 2 \lg(n + 1).$$

Proof. (The book uses induction. Read carefully.)

INTUITION:

- Merge red nodes into their black parents.






Height of a red-black tree


Theorem. A red-black tree with n keys has height
 $h \leq 2 \lg(n + 1)$.

Proof. (The book uses induction. Read carefully.)

INTUITION:

- Merge red nodes into their black parents.






Height of a red-black tree

Theorem. A red-black tree with n keys has height
$$h \leq 2 \lg(n + 1).$$


Proof. (The book uses induction. Read carefully.)

INTUITION:

- Merge red nodes into their black parents.





- This process produces a tree in which each node has 2, 3, or 4 children.
- The 2-3-4 tree has uniform depth h' of leaves.



Proof (continued)


- We have $h' \geq h/2$, since at most half the leaves on any path are red.






Proof (continued)


- We have $h' \geq h/2$, since at most half the leaves on any path are red.
- The number of leaves in a RBT is $n + 1$ (every node inserted adds two children and removes one except the root) which is at least $2^{h'}$ if we assume only 2 children







Proof (continued)

- We have $h' \geq h/2$, since at most half the leaves on any path are red.




- The number of leaves in a RBT is $n + 1$
 - $\Rightarrow n + 1 \geq 2^{h'}$
 - $\Rightarrow \lg(n + 1) \geq h' \geq h/2$
 - $\Rightarrow h \leq 2 \lg(n + 1)$. □





Query operations


Corollary. The queries SEARCH, MIN, MAX, SUCCESSOR, and PREDECESSOR all run in $O(\lg n)$ time on a red-black tree with n nodes.




Modifying operations

The operations INSERT and DELETE cause modifications to the red-black tree:

- the operation itself,
- color changes,
- restructuring the links of the tree via *“rotations”*.




Rotations



Rotations maintain the inorder ordering of keys:

- $a \in \alpha, b \in \beta, c \in \gamma \Rightarrow a \leq A \leq b \leq B \leq c.$


A rotation can be performed in $O(1)$ time.




Insertion into a red-black tree

IDEA: Insert x in tree. Color x red. Only red-black property 3 might be violated. Move the violation up the tree by recoloring until it can be fixed with rotations and recoloring.

Example:







Insertion into a red-black tree

IDEA: Insert x in tree. Color x red. Only red-black property 3 might be violated. Move the violation up the tree by recoloring until it can be fixed with rotations and recoloring.

Example:

- Insert $x = 15$.
- Recolor, moving the violation up the tree.







Insertion into a red-black tree

IDEA: Insert x in tree. Color x red. Only red-black property 3 might be violated. Move the violation up the tree by recoloring until it can be fixed with rotations and recoloring.

Example:

- Insert $x = 15$.
- Recolor, moving the violation up the tree.
- **RIGHT-ROTATE(18).**







Insertion into a red-black tree

IDEA: Insert x in tree. Color x red. Only red-black property 3 might be violated. Move the violation up the tree by recoloring until it can be fixed with rotations and recoloring.

Example:

- Insert $x = 15$.
- Recolor, moving the violation up the tree.
- RIGHT-ROTATE(18).
- LEFT-ROTATE(7) and recolor.







Insertion into a red-black tree

IDEA: Insert x in tree. Color x red. Only red-black property 3 might be violated. Move the violation up the tree by recoloring until it can be fixed with rotations and recoloring.

Example:

- Insert $x = 15$.
- Recolor, moving the violation up the tree.
- **RIGHT-ROTATE(18).**
- **LEFT-ROTATE(7)** and recolor.





Pseudocode

RB-INSERT(T, x)

 TREE-INSERT(T, x)

$color[x] \leftarrow RED$ ▷ only RB property 3 can be violated

while $x \neq root[T]$ and $color[p[x]] = RED$

do if $p[x] = left[p[p[x]]]$

then $y \leftarrow right[p[p[x]]]$ ▷ $y =$ aunt/uncle of x

if $color[y] = RED$

then **⟨Case 1⟩**


else if $x = right[p[x]]$

then **⟨Case 2⟩** ▷ Case 2 falls into Case 3

⟨Case 3⟩

else **⟨“then” clause with “left” and “right” swapped⟩**


$color[root[T]] \leftarrow BLACK$




Graphical notation

Let  denote a subtree with a black root.



All 's have the same black-height.



Case 1




Recolor




(Or, children of A are swapped.)


Push C 's black onto A and D , and recurse, since C 's parent may be red.




Case 2




Transform to Case 3.



Case 3



Done! No more violations of RB property 3 are possible.



Analysis

- Go up the tree performing Case 1, which only recolors nodes.
- If Case 2 or Case 3 occurs, perform 1 or 2 rotations, and terminate.

Running time: $O(\lg n)$ with $O(1)$ rotations.

RB-DELETE — same asymptotic running time and number of rotations as RB-INSERT (see textbook).

Recap

- Binary Search Trees
 - Insert, Search, Delete
 - Expected Height
- Red Black Trees